Prospector Cataloging/Reference Committee
February 28, 2013
12:30 – 3:30
3801 E. Florida Ave.
Ste. #515
Denver, CO
(303) 759-3399

Present: Pam Blome, CSM; Jessica Hayden, UNC; Virginia Inness, COSPL; Janet Lee, Regis; Windy Lundy, CU Boulder; Tami Morse, UW; Karen Neville, CCU; Beth Oehlerts (Chair), CSU; Andy Preyer, DPL; Janet Ryan, DPL; Cynthia Wilson (recorder), JCPL; George Machovec, Alliance; Rose Nelson, Alliance; Denise Pan, Auraria; Jamie Walker, CMU.

Via telephone/Webinar: Tova Aragon, Poudre River Library District; Mary Beth Chambers, UCCS; Emily Epstein, CU Health Sciences ; Mary Katherine Katzer, Marmot ; Sandra Macke, DU; Karen Selden, CU Law ; Penny Shiel, Mesa County Libraries; Barb Thorne, Flatiron Consortium.

News/Announcements (Rose and George)

Welcome
Rose welcomed everyone to the new Alliance meeting room. Prospector Cataloging/Reference meetings will be held at the Alliance from now on. Introductions were made on the phone and around the room.

Innovative Academic Library Directors' meeting
Last week, George attended the Innovative Academic Library Directors' meeting. The InnReach platform has been stable for many years. Innovative is now owned by Investment capital companies so the whole management layer is new. Kim Massana is the new CEO. One of the new initiatives for InnReach is to incorporate Ebsco EDS product into the Encore environment and Innovative is working on authentication at the consortial level with MelCat. Topics of discussion included how to incorporate e-resources and how to link InnReach systems across the country. Colorado has a courier connection with Missouri, so that might open possibilities for linked InnReach systems for long range planning. The roadmap for Sierra includes making the system more mobile-friendly (i.e. paging slips on I-pad) They are moving from more client/server to web-based systems.

Fulfillment statistics
Rose distributed statistics and provided an on-screen demonstration via the Prospector staff page.

DPL update
The data is loaded. The group is still working on deletions. They will reload the data every 6 months. The vendors are still working on the NCIP standards to support having to process a transaction only once instead of twice as it is in a standard DCB setup. There are still some issues with requesting that are being worked out. When DPL goes live, they would like to go live with central branch first.

RDA and Prospector

Some libraries have discovered the display and indexing of 264 is not quite right – III knows about the issue and is working on a solution. III also offers a service commitment to update individual systems for RDA fields.

CU is already contributing RDA records. OCLC will house both AACR2 and RDA simultaneously. There is a policy statement on the OCLC website. On March 31 when the national libraries implement RDA, OCLC's policy statements will change. RDA will not include the GMD. UCCS is using MARCedit to add gmd, resulting in MARC hybrid records. In 2016 OCLC will start deleting gmds from records. Members are finding badly merged records in OCLC. Rose will be working with III to resolve RDA display and indexing issues.

The question was asked if Prospector is going to put up any sort of implementation date or best practices for RDA? Discussion ensued, but no conclusions were reached. Another question was asked about what RDA training members have had. CU responded that they have been using LC's online workshops for RDA training, and recommended them.

Longmont update

Longmont is using the DCB. They are on a Sirsi/Dynix system but are not using NCIP for checkin and checkout, so they will be doing double circulation (once in their system and once in DCB). Longmont staff just had their training. The DCB clients are loaded. Innovative has a project manager assigned so they have been having weekly meetings. Longmont has been doing buddy testing with Aurora Public Library. Go live date March/April. Holdings are in Prospector with "local use only" status.

DDA (Demand-driven acquisition) project update

The EBL/ebrary project seems to be a success. Ebrary record problems have been resolved during the past week. Regis is having problems with records in library-specific collection blending with group records. They are wondering if there is a problem with the subdirectory. A question was asked if there is a count of how many ebrary records are supposed to exist in the database. About 500 in ebrary DDA. Beth will send out the numbers to the participants. UCCS has 593 records for ebrary – 3310 total for the DDA project. Auraria is buying YBP titles as well. It is taking 6 weeks for records to arrive. Denise will send an example to the Alliance so they can follow up with the YBP rep to find out what is going on.

Comment was made that we haven't noticed many ebrary purchase titles. One reason may be that they haven't had as long an exposure for purchase since there was a delay in getting ebrary up and running. Another issue may be in the difference in preview period between EBL and ebrary titles.

MaryBeth expressed appreciation to CSU and their catalogers for sending OCLC record files.

EBL and ebrary are combining as a company. At some point there will be a merged platform.

The pilot project is coming up on a year. The Collection Development Committee is going to be making a recommendation as to whether the pilot should become permanent. The money will last more than a year, but they don't know if it will last clear through a full second cycle. The group will be looking at use levels, etc. to see if they need a new way of charging for participation if it keeps going. Also, the group needs to decide how participants can be added or can leave.

Encore update (advanced search)
Prospector is on Encore 4.2. We have added an advanced search option that supports Boolean operators. Advanced search does include a format limit option. Some of the options on the advanced screen page have not been customized yet. Locations may be limiting to master record locations. The Collection facet is not applicable. Locations are by broad entity (Marmot vs. individual libraries).

Prospector FAQ
The Prospector FAQ is now live. There is a link on the Prospector staff page (ProspectorKB.coalliance.org) . Most of the questions are directed at the document delivery committee. Searchers can do a keyword search on the text. Questions are in natural language and include related entries as well as the tag cloud. Staff page website has documents, best practices and codes rather than the quick question/answer format of FAQ. The questions and answers are Prospector-specific. Broader InnReach questions are available on the IUG Clearinghouse. If you have things to add, contact Rose who will set it up so the content can be added. Rose will send a link out via the listserv.

Other
From CU -- The ERIC database of electronic documents has stopped displaying most of their documents because the letters of transmittal contained private information. CU also has microform versions, so they have inserted an 856 to link to the Illiad request form saying if you can't access the electronic record, click there to make a request to see if CU has a scanned version they can send via ILL.

Sierra issues
JCPL's deletes are not updating properly in Prospector. III is working on a solution.

Alliance news
The Alliance has hired a developer. One project just finished is harvesting the Prospector database for MARC records to support all the different discovery systems; Summon, Primo, EDS. The Alliance wants to get all the Prospector records into a big pile (all electronic content). The goal is to get the MARC records into each discovery system so Prospector is present. This will provide a link back to Prospector. The link allows you to place a Prospector hold per usual. The goal is to put Prospector everywhere the patrons are. Currently, the Alliance is working with Serials Solutions to add Prospector Encore to Summon. Whether local and Prospector records merge in the discovery systems is a function of the setup of the discovery systems. Alliance staff will be meeting with the vendors to work out some of the details of how records are loaded and processed. Primo version of Prospector will be a batch load process, so the Primo version of Prospector will not be absolutely up to date.

Meeting schedule
It was decided by the Committee to move to quarterly meetings. The next meeting will be May 16, 2013. The meeting schedule will be coordinated with the Document Delivery Committee schedule so both meetings occur in the same month.

OCLC and SkyRiver

Both companies have asked to come and present information at a Prospector meeting. The possibility of a Prospector/Alliance vendor showcase was discussed. The showcase would be open to all who are interested in attending.